APPENDIX 4

GATLAND LANE TRO CONSULTATION RESPONSES Friday 11/08/2017 to Monday 04/09/2017

Total responses = 13 Support = 1 (√) Objections = 10 (√) No decision = 2 (?) Unrelated = 0 (Ω)

No.	Object	Support	Comments	Response from KCC
1		✓	Kent Police have no specific observations to make regarding either of these proposals, however in general terms we would expect the following: • The application meets the necessary criteria. • The introduction of Parking restrictions complies in all respect with the Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions 2016. • The introduction of such measures will not leave the Police with the task of carrying out constant enforcement issues. • The safety of other road users is not compromised by the introduction of these measures. Civil Parking Enforcement will require your Authority to ensure resources are available to enforce these proposals.	Thank you for responding to the Traffic Regulation order consultation for Double Yellow Lines at Gatland Lane Maidstone. As your response did not object to the scheme, this email is simply to advise that due to the number of objections received, the Application, with objections, will be reported on and reviewed at The Maidstone Joint Transportation Board Meeting on Jan 17 th 2018.
2	✓		Our reference for your proposals is 127/17 I am writing to object against the proposed parking restrictions along Gatland lane and the surrounding roads. Your reason for the parking restrictions is Jubilee School. The planning application to double the intake of the school and building has been refused. I therefore do not see the necessity to impose parking/waiting restrictions as they are now not required. Please contact me if you wish to have more information	I write to explain that the proposals relate to the opening of the school for the school year Sept 2016 and are not related at all to later plans to increase the number of pupils. The Traffic Regulation Order seeks to formalise the yellow lines shown on the plan, with an aim of; - providing protection from parking on junction corners where new pedestrian crossing points have been constructed - to protect visibility for vehicle movements at the junctions - and to protect visibility for and of pedestrians opposite the school where a new pedestrian crossing point has been constructed. As a result of the number of objections received, the Application, with objections, will be reported on and reviewed at The Maidstone Joint Transportation Board Meeting on Jan 17 th 2018, with a view to deciding what action is to be taken.
3	√		We object to this happening due to the fact that your reasons for this need to happen is the double intake of children and the increased building size of The Jubilee School, which as we are	I write to explain that the proposals relate to the opening of the school for the school year Sept 2016 and are not related at all to later plans to increase the number of pupils. The Kent County Council reasons for the order were stated as;

No.	Object	Support	Comments	Response from KCC
			aware that both of these factors have been refused by Maidstone planning committee. Therefore we cannot see the need for this to happen. Secondly all you will be doing is pathing the way for the Teachers that now park in Ridgway and parents alike to park further into Cowdrey Close which already is a nightmare for the residents to be able to get in and out of the driveways. The parents have no consideration for the residents only this morning a car was parked over the drive way of our next door neighbour. What we feel is needed not more double yellow lines but Residents parking only On Gatland Lane and the surrounding roads this will then stop the parents and teachers from making the roads unsafe.	The Kent County Council acting as the Local Traffic Authority intends to make the Order referred to above and as shown on the drawings accompanying this document in the interest of public safety - avoiding the danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising. The Traffic Regulation Order seeks to formalise the yellow lines shown on the plan, with an aim of; - providing protection from parking on junction corners where new pedestrian crossing points have been constructed - to protect visibility for vehicle movements at the junctions - and to protect visibility for and of pedestrians opposite the school where a new pedestrian crossing point has been constructed. As a result of the number of objections received, the Application, with objections, will be reported on and reviewed at The Maidstone Joint Transportation Board Meeting on Jan 17 th 2018, with a view to deciding what action is to be taken.
4	√		I hereby log my objection to the proposed waiting restrictions on Gatland lane and surrounding roads. It seems there is no need for this as Jubilee school will not be expanding. The proposed restrictions would impact surrounding roads to Gatland lane greatly where parking for residents is already a problem with people park on the grass verges. Weekend users of Gatland park for football would not have anywhere to park either.	I write to explain that the proposals relate to the opening of the school for the school year Sept 2016 and are not related at all to later plans to increase the number of pupils. The Kent County Council reasons for the order were stated as; The Kent County Council acting as the Local Traffic Authority intends to make the Order referred to above and as shown on the drawings accompanying this document in the interest of public safety - avoiding the danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising. The Traffic Regulation Order seeks to formalise the yellow lines shown on the plan, with an aim of; - providing protection from parking on junction corners where new pedestrian crossing points have been constructed - to protect visibility for vehicle movements at the junctions - and to protect visibility for and of pedestrians opposite the school where a new pedestrian crossing point has been constructed. As a result of the number of objections received, the Application, with objections,

No.	Object	Support	Comments	Response from KCC
				will be reported on and reviewed at The Maidstone Joint Transportation Board Meeting on Jan 17 th 2018, with a view to deciding what action is to be taken.
5	√		We have read the document concerning the proposal to impose waiting restrictions adjacent to the Jubilee School. As the school has now had it's planning application refused we can see no reason for these proposals and as such oppose them entirely. If they are implemented it will cause stress and concern to a great many people as their options to park will be severely limited. The school has impacted sufficiently on residents and to impose further parking restrictions in the area is unnecessary. All it will do it shift the issue of parked cars to other roads which are already at capacity during the weekends and evenings particularly. So please accept this email as an objection to the scheme.	I write to explain that the proposals relate to the opening of the school for the school year Sept 2016 and are not related at all to later plans to increase the number of pupils. The Kent County Council reasons for the order were stated as; The Kent County Council acting as the Local Traffic Authority intends to make the Order referred to above and as shown on the drawings accompanying this document in the interest of public safety - avoiding the danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising. The Traffic Regulation Order seeks to formalise the yellow lines shown on the plan, with an aim of; - providing protection from parking on junction corners where new pedestrian crossing points have been constructed - to protect visibility for vehicle movements at the junctions - and to protect visibility for and of pedestrians opposite the school where a new pedestrian crossing point has been constructed. As a result of the number of objections received, the Application, with objections, will be reported on and reviewed at The Maidstone Joint Transportation Board Meeting on Jan 17 th 2018, with a view to deciding what action is to be taken.
6	?	?	I am writing to you with regards to the letter posted out last week about proposed road alterations on Gatland Lane and the surrounding area. In this letter it was stated that plans would be available online to view from noon on the 1st of September. As of yet (21:00 on the 3rd of September) these plans are still not available on the link provided. Can you please inform me as to when these plans will be made available? Also, given the short time frame in place for comments on this planning permission and the lack of details on this in the public domain, I trust that the date for comments will	I am sorry that you were unable to access the plans for some reason, the following page was checked to have all the required information, with the plan being part of the Public Notice (Consultation Documents) available on this page. https://consultations.kent.gov.uk/consult.ti/GatlandLane_WaitingRestrictions/consultationHome I write to explain that the proposals relate to the opening of the school for the

No.	Object	Support	Comments	Response from KCC
			thus be extended.	school year Sept 2016 and are not related at all to later plans to increase the number of pupils. The Kent County Council reasons for the order were stated as;
				The Kent County Council acting as the Local Traffic Authority intends to make the Order referred to above and as shown on the drawings accompanying this document in the interest of public safety
				- avoiding the danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising.
				The Traffic Regulation Order seeks to formalise the yellow lines shown on the plan, with an aim of;
				 providing protection from parking on junction corners where new pedestrian crossing points have been constructed to protect visibility for vehicle movements at the junctions and to protect visibility for and of pedestrians opposite the school where a new pedestrian crossing point has been constructed. As a result of the number of objections received, the Application, with objections, will be reported on and reviewed at The Maidstone Joint Transportation Board Meeting on Jan 17th 2018, with a view to deciding what action is to be taken.
7	√		I'm writing to express my concerns and objection to the above proposal to place DYL's on the length of Gatland Lane and the surrounding roads. The majority of these roads have limited parking as it is. Most with one allocated parking space. This is sufficient for us as we only have one vehicle but many properties have more than one vehicle and I am also concerned that any visitors will not be able to find anywhere in the surrounding area to park at any time, even at off peak times if these double yellow lines are	I write to explain that the proposals relate to the opening of the school for the school year Sept 2016 and are not related at all to later plans to increase the number of pupils. The Kent County Council reasons for the order were stated as; The Kent County Council acting as the Local Traffic Authority intends to make the Order referred to above and as shown on the drawings accompanying this document in the interest of public safety
			even at off peak times if these double yellow lines are introduced. It will surely cause even more poor parking in the surrounding area if these people have even less of a choice as to where they are able to park. I understand the need for some restrictions especially with the development of the school and potentially irresponsible parking by parents. Your letter suggests that the changes are being put into place purely due to the development of the school so would	 avoiding the danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising. The Traffic Regulation Order seeks to formalise the yellow lines shown on the plan, with an aim of;

No.	Object	Support	Comments	Response from KCC
			it not be better to impose time restricted single yellow lines instead of double yellows? This would prevent irresponsible parking during school drop off and pick up times but would leave the road free for limited parking in the evenings and at weekends, when most people are likely to be at home or have visitors anyway. This method has been used in the area around such local schools as Valley Park, Invicta and East Borough primary school. I also note that there are no lines at all around the nearby Bower Grove school which is also a congested area for vehicles. What is the reason for this school not being surrounded by lines as is being proposed for Jubilee school?	 providing protection from parking on junction corners where new pedestrian crossing points have been constructed to protect visibility for vehicle movements at the junctions and to protect visibility for and of pedestrians opposite the school where a new pedestrian crossing point has been constructed. As a result of the number of objections received, the Application, with objections, will be reported on and reviewed at The Maidstone Joint Transportation Board Meeting on Jan 17th 2018, with a view to deciding what action is to be taken.
8	✓		I received a letter today through my door to advise that due to development of Jubilee Primary School on Gatland Lane your planning on putting down double yellow lines. I live at number Not to long ago we had double yellow lines laid at the opening of Burghclere Drive which was fine as I could still park outside my house. The letter states DYL will be laid from the junction with Gatland Lane for 10 metres. I thought this was what had already been done. Please could you clarify or send me drawing of how far the lines are going to go up. The people of Burghclere Drive do not have parking and by sending double yellows up it will cause alot of drama for a small cul de sac.	I write to explain that the proposals relate to the opening of the school for the school year Sept 2016 and are not related at all to later plans to increase the number of pupils. The lines are indeed those already placed, that you refer to and once an order is in place, parking on these lines can be enforced by Maidstone Borough Parking Civil Enforcement Officers. See plan at the end of this link https://consultations.kent.gov.uk/gf2.ti/f/851426/29758533.1/PDF/-/GATLAND_LANE.pdf I write to explain that the proposals relate to the opening of the school for the school year Sept 2016 and are not related at all to later plans to increase the number of pupils. The Kent County Council reasons for the order were stated as; The Kent County Council acting as the Local Traffic Authority intends to make the Order referred to above and as shown on the drawings accompanying this document in the interest of public safety - avoiding the danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising. The Traffic Regulation Order seeks to formalise the yellow lines shown on the plan, with an aim of;

No.	Object	Support	Comments	Response from KCC
				pedestrian crossing points have been constructed
9	√		I have received a letter outlining proposed waiting restrictions and prohibition of traffic movements planned for the area that I live in, due to the development of jubilee primary school in gatland lane Maidstone, I can understand that the parents picking and dropping of their kids causes problems in the area. But I think that the residents that live in the area, including myself are the ones that will suffer as, I live in gatland lane, and by putting in double yellow lines the council will leave us with nowhere at all to park our cars, is this fair? I think not, . why don't you introduce resident parking only in areas where we have no other option but to park on the road. I'm very unhappy with this proposed planning. It will not solve the problem at all as the parents will just park and block streets nearby, it wont affect them, just people that live in the area that are being punished for a school that nobody wanted in the first place. Please can you reply, letting me know where us residents are going to park in the future please.	I write to explain that the proposals relate to the opening of the school for the school year Sept 2016 and are not related at all to later plans to increase the number of pupils. The Kent County Council reasons for the order were stated as; The Kent County Council acting as the Local Traffic Authority intends to make the Order referred to above and as shown on the drawings accompanying this document in the interest of public safety - avoiding the danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising. The Traffic Regulation Order seeks to formalise the yellow lines shown on the plan, with an aim of; - providing protection from parking on junction corners where new pedestrian crossing points have been constructed - to protect visibility for vehicle movements at the junctions - and to protect visibility for and of pedestrians opposite the school where a new pedestrian crossing point has been constructed. As a result of the number of objections received, the Application, with objections, will be reported on and reviewed at The Maidstone Joint Transportation Board Meeting on Jan 17 th 2018, with a view to deciding what action is to be taken.
10	?	?	Since Gatland House became a school, we have cars parked outside our house from 7.30am - 4.30pm. The first cars to arrive (mainly belonging to teachers) park right up to the end of the	I write to explain that the proposals relate to the opening of the school for the school year Sept 2016 and are not related at all to later plans to increase the

No.	Object	Support	Comments	Response from KCC
			double yellow lines and are there for the duration of the day, making driving in and reversing out of our property (extremely difficult and hazardous. This is accentuated by the fact that this is the narrowest part as the road bends. When the hourly buses come along, they often have to mount the pavement if there is on-coming traffic. Double yellow lines were implemented but, in our opinion, have not been extended far enough to allow reasonable and safe access to our property. I have attached photos giving a clearer view. In the interest of safety, we would like to request that these double yellow lines are extended by 12 metres which would take them past the narrowest part of the road and, therefore, beyond our driveway.	number of pupils. The Kent County Council reasons for the order were stated as; The Kent County Council acting as the Local Traffic Authority intends to make the Order referred to above and as shown on the drawings accompanying this document in the interest of public safety - avoiding the danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising. The Traffic Regulation Order seeks to formalise the yellow lines shown on the plan, with an aim of; - providing protection from parking on junction corners where new pedestrian crossing points have been constructed - to protect visibility for vehicle movements at the junctions - and to protect visibility for and of pedestrians opposite the school where a new pedestrian crossing point has been constructed. As a result of the number of objections received, the Application, with objections, will be reported on and reviewed at The Maidstone Joint Transportation Board Meeting on Jan 17 th 2018, with a view to deciding what action is to be taken.
11	√		I am writing to object to the the KCC Parking/Waiting restrictions, reference 01/ME/Gatland Lane, which will affect Burghclere Drive, Chamberlain Avenue, Gatland Lane and Ridgway, in Fant, Maidstone. The application by jubilee church to increase the size of the school has been refused. The full double yellow lines are not required. Just a restriction near the school gates, to stop the school users blocking the highway during the am/pm pick-ups and drop-offs. a single yellow line with time restrictions should suffice.	I write to explain that the proposals relate to the opening of the school for the school year Sept 2016 and are not related at all to later plans to increase the number of pupils. The Kent County Council reasons for the order were stated as; The Kent County Council acting as the Local Traffic Authority intends to make the Order referred to above and as shown on the drawings accompanying this document in the interest of public safety - avoiding the danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising. The Traffic Regulation Order seeks to formalise the yellow lines shown on the plan, with an aim of; - providing protection from parking on junction corners where new pedestrian crossing points have been constructed - to protect visibility for vehicle movements at the junctions - and to protect visibility for and of pedestrians opposite the school

No.	Object	Support	Comments	Response from KCC
				where a new pedestrian crossing point has been constructed. As a result of the number of objections received, the Application, with objections, will be reported on and reviewed at The Maidstone Joint Transportation Board Meeting on Jan 17 th 2018, with a view to deciding what action is to be taken.
12	✓		I email with reference to proposed parking restrictions & further double yellow lines in Gatland Lane and surrounding roads, which have been put forward regarding an initial application by jubilee school to extend. The planning application to double the intake and building size of Jubilee School was refused. Therefore I object on the basis that the necessity to impose parking/waiting restrictions is not required.	I write to explain that the proposals relate to the opening of the school for the school year Sept 2016 and are not related at all to later plans to increase the number of pupils. The Kent County Council reasons for the order were stated as; The Kent County Council acting as the Local Traffic Authority intends to make the Order referred to above and as shown on the drawings accompanying this document in the interest of public safety - avoiding the danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising. The Traffic Regulation Order seeks to formalise the yellow lines shown on the plan, with an aim of; - providing protection from parking on junction corners where new pedestrian crossing points have been constructed - to protect visibility for vehicle movements at the junctions - and to protect visibility for and of pedestrians opposite the school where a new pedestrian crossing point has been constructed. As a result of the number of objections received, the Application, with objections, will be reported on and reviewed at The Maidstone Joint Transportation Board Meeting on Jan 17 th 2018, with a view to deciding what action is to be taken.
13	✓		Initially, I would make the comment that these yellow lines have been painted on the highway for 12 months (5 September 2016) as an "illegal" marking on the highway as you had not consulted on their arrival prior to their painting. We have made many representations to your staff about the illegality of these lines for 12 months.	I write to explain that the proposals relate to the opening of the school for the school year Sept 2016 and are not related at all to later plans to increase the number of pupils. The Kent County Council reasons for the order were stated as; The Kent County Council acting as the Local Traffic Authority intends to make the Order referred to above and as shown on the drawings accompanying this

No.	Object	Support	Comments	Response from KCC
			However, it is now seen fit to apply for a Traffic Order to legalise these lines. We object to the order as published as we consider that the order is both excessive and inappropriate to the community. Outside the school is a large notice appended to a lamp standard which states that there shall be no parking on the marked lines between 7 – 6p.m. between Monday and Friday; to this part of the Order we have no objection as it is limited though, in its extent is also excessive as it relates to every week of the year whereas schools only sit for 40 weeks thus there are 12 weeks when even this notice is excessive and inappropriate. It must be remembered that this school is a new arrival in a community that has functioned well now for over 60 years. Gatland House was part of that community as a children's home and, latterly, as a clinic for young people. The building sat alongside the rest of the residential area without any objection from residents. However, in September 2104 things changed. As stated above, the school functions for 40 weeks between the hours of 8.00 and 16.00; this is a limited time period. The reasons for the objections are as follows:- 1. The Order as present drafted provides for "Double Yellow Lines" These lines have the enforceable effect or impinging on the every day lives of residents who, hitherto, had existed in a very orderly way. The DYL (Double Yellow Lines) will not necessarily provide for safety of the children attending the schools as they will NOT be enforced for 24 hours a day, 7 days a week; what they will do is to materially affect the lives of the residents who will no longer be able to legally park outside the so affected dwelling and visitors will also be so affected. This restriction on parking outside one own property will then impinge on others who do not have DYL – the ripple effect will be found. If the safety of children affecting the school is paramount, then this is NOT THE WAY to provide such safety.	document in the interest of public safety - avoiding the danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising. The Traffic Regulation Order seeks to formalise the yellow lines shown on the plan, with an aim of; - providing protection from parking on junction corners where new pedestrian crossing points have been constructed - to protect visibility for vehicle movements at the junctions - and to protect visibility for and of pedestrians opposite the school where a new pedestrian crossing point has been constructed. As a result of the number of objections received, the Application, with objections, will be reported on and reviewed at The Maidstone Joint Transportation Board Meeting on Jan 17 th 2018, with a view to deciding what action is to be taken.

No.	Object	Support	Comments	Response from KCC
			It has already become standard practice of uninformed residents to park outside the houses free of DYL. For these reasons the proposals are both excessive and inappropriate. 2. If safety is paramount, then a more acceptable proposal for the residents would look like this • Single yellow lines will be painted along the length of north and south side of Gatland Lane and along The Ridgeway, such lines will be clearly signed to be effective ONLY between the hours of 8.00 and 16.00 hours and ONLY effective in term times. • The DYL will remain outside the school as the markings are clearly controlled by limiting signs already affixed and self explanatory. • The provision of time and date limited single lines will prove to be more effective and acceptable to the residents as it will prevent the stone in the middle from rippling outwards – as at present happens and it outlined above. • The demands for enforcement will be contained within those hours and days and thus will be cost effective and proportionate. It will be seen that this objection is not based upon an outright unacceptance of lines but on a reasoned response with a constructive alternative produced by residents of the area to whom this proposed Order will have a direct effect upon. We urge this presently drafted Order to be withdrawn.	